

# TOWNSEND

PUBLIC AFFAIRS

EST **TPA** 1998

[WWW.TOWNSENDPA.COM](http://WWW.TOWNSENDPA.COM)

SACRAMENTO • WASHINGTON, DC  
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA • CENTRAL CALIFORNIA • SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA



**TPA Presentation to:**

**Orange County  
Sanitation District**

**July 13, 2020**

# Agenda

**Updated Legislative Schedule**

**FY 2020-20201 State Budget**

**State COVID-19 Response**

**Legislative Update – Priority  
Legislation**

# Updated Legislative Schedule

# Updated Legislative Schedule

- Legislature delayed their return from Summer Recess due to members contracting COVID-19
- Legislative session ends August 31 – Constitutional requirement
- July 31 is currently the last day for policy committees to pass fiscal bills
- Over 2700 were considered in 2020. Approximately 1600 left



# **FY 2020-20201 State Budget**

# FY 2020-2021 State Budget

- In January 2020, Governor Newsom introduced his January Budget Proposal worth \$222.2 billion
  - The proposal assumed record revenues, contributed to the largest savings account in State history, and invested in early childhood education, emergency response, and affordable housing
- Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, a fluctuating stock market, and statewide stay at home orders, California's tax receipts plummeted
- The Governor's May Revise Proposal assumed a \$54 billion shortfall in revenues
- Proposed a wide variety of budget deferrals, spending cuts, and using reserves



# FY 2020-2021 State Budget Continued

- Legislature passed a Budget by June 15 to fulfill their constitutional deadline
- Negotiations over Budget details continued with the Administration after the Budget bill was passed
- The final budget deal was worth \$202.1 billion

## Summary of Solutions (Dollars in Billions)

Category	2020 Budget Act
1 Reserves	\$8.8
2 Borrowing/Transfers/Deferrals	9.3
3 Revenues	4.4
4 Federal Funds	10.1
5 Cancelled Expansions, Updated Assumptions, and Other	10.6
6 Trigger Reductions/Additional Deferrals	11.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$54.3</b>

# CARES Act Money

- The enacted Budget deal included \$500 million for cities from the State's allocation of funds from the federal CARES Act
  - \$225 million for cities with populations between 300,000 and 500,000
  - \$275 million for cities with populations under 300,000
  - Minimum of \$50,000 per city
- Cities with populations over 500,000 are not receiving any of this money because they received their allocations directly from the Federal government
- The money will be funneled through the 58 counties
- The Treasury Department has released comprehensive guidelines on eligible uses for the money
- Most importantly, as of now, the money cannot be used for revenue reimbursement



# State COVID-19 Response

# State COVID-19 Response

## Major recent Executive Orders:

- July 1 – Second stay at home order
- June 30 – Eviction moratorium
- June 18 – Mandatory mask order
- June 5 – Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

## Summary of Re-Opening California:

- Most of California was in the early stages of Phase 3 of re-opening prior to the second stay at home order on July 1
- It is expected that the second stay at home order will last at least a couple of weeks
- After the second stay at home order expires, Phase 3 of the re-opening is expected to resume with modifications

# **Legislative Update – Priority Legislation**

# Legislative Update

- Legislative leadership requested that Members significantly limit their legislative packages as the Legislature slowly resumes activity
- Leadership and the Administration has made it clear that they would like to focus on COVID-19 response, housing/homelessness, and wildfire response and resilience
- Most other policy topics have been pushed until the next legislative session

# AB 1672 (Bloom) – Flushable Wipes

- CASA and stakeholders have been negotiating with the industry for the past several months
- “DO NOT FLUSH” labeling and a universal moniker required in accordance with widely accepted voluntary guidelines established by the Association of the Nonwoven Fabrics Industry’s code of practice
- New amendments include refining the scope of covered products, and a manufacturer driven comprehensive statewide consumer education and outreach campaign
- AB 1672 will be heard in the Senate Environmental Quality Committee on July 21



# Additional Priority Legislation

**AB 2560 (Quirk)** – public notice and comment review period for notification and response levels for contaminants

**Status:** Heard in the Senate E.Q. Committee July 21

**SB 931 (Wieckowski)** – would require that local agencies email meeting agendas and agenda packets to members of the public upon request (**OCSD Oppose**)

**Status:** Dead

**SB 996 (Portantino)** – require the State Board to create a Constituents of Emerging Concern Program (Program)

**Status:** Dead

**SB 1056 (Portantino)** – require the State Board to certify a methodology for testing all types of water for PFAS/PFOA

**Status:** Dead

# Additional Priority Legislation

**AB 2762 (Muratsuchi)** – would prohibit a person or entity from manufacturing, selling, delivering, holding, or offering for sale, in commerce any cosmetic product that contains any of several specified intentionally added ingredients, including certain strains of PFAS/PFOA.

**Status:** Heard in the Senate E.Q. Committee July 21

**AB 3163 (Salas)** – would define “biomethane” as methane produced from an organic waste feedstock. This would allow greater uses for the byproducts produced by OCSD facilities.

**Status:** Currently in the Senate Energy, Utilities, and Communications Committee



**TOWNSEND**  
PUBLIC AFFAIRS  
EST **TPA** 1998

# Thank You

**Cori Williams**

*Southern California Senior Director*

CWilliams@townsendpa.com

[www.townsendpa.com](http://www.townsendpa.com)

**Eric O'Donnell**

*Senior Associate*

EODonnell@townsendpa.com

[www.townsendpa.com](http://www.townsendpa.com)